



From Digital Transformation to Responsible Innovation: A Systematic Literature Review of Digital Ethics, Organizational Capabilities, and Sustainable Business Value

Farah Qalbia¹, Grace Yulianti²

¹STIE Kasih Bangsa, Indonesia, farah@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id

²STIE Kasih Bangsa, Indonesia, grace@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id

ABSTRACT. Digital transformation has created significant opportunities for organizational innovation and business value while simultaneously generating ethical, governance, and sustainability challenges. This study conducts a Qualitative Systematic Literature Review (QSLR) to examine how digital ethics and organizational capabilities shape the transition from digital transformation toward responsible innovation and sustainable business value. Relevant peer-reviewed literature was systematically identified, screened, evaluated, and thematically synthesized. The findings reveal five interconnected themes: digital transformation as organizational transformation, digital ethics as a governance requirement, organizational capabilities as an enabling mechanism, responsible innovation as an integrative outcome, and sustainable business value as a multidimensional consequence. The synthesis indicates that responsible digital transformation requires more than technological adoption; it depends on ethical governance, organizational capabilities, stakeholder engagement, transparency, accountability, and sustainability-oriented innovation. The study proposes an integrated pathway linking digital transformation, organizational capabilities, digital ethics, responsible innovation, and sustainable business value.

Keywords: Digital Transformation; Digital Ethics; Organizational Capabilities; Responsible Innovation; Sustainable Business Value.

INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation has fundamentally reshaped how organizations create, deliver, and capture value, while simultaneously generating new ethical, organizational, and societal challenges. The rapid diffusion of artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, digital platforms, automation, and algorithmic decision making has expanded organizational capabilities and created new opportunities for innovation and efficiency. However, digital transformation is not merely a technological transition; it also requires organizations to reconsider their business models, organizational processes, stakeholder relationships, and responsibilities toward society and the environment. Saarikko et al. (2020) argue that digitally conscious firms need to approach transformation strategically rather than treating digitalization simply as the adoption of new technologies. Similarly, Kraus et al. (2022) emphasize that digital transformation has become a multidimensional phenomenon affecting business models, organizational capabilities, and managerial practices.

The growing importance of digital technologies has also intensified ethical concerns surrounding data, algorithms, privacy, transparency, accountability, fairness, and human autonomy. AI-based systems, for example, may generate significant business

value but can also reproduce bias, obscure decision-making processes, or create difficulties in assigning responsibility for technological outcomes (Brendel et al., 2021; Munoko et al., 2020). Felzmann et al. (2020) highlight the importance of transparency by design in AI systems, while Kim and Routledge (2022) argue that explainability is closely associated with trust in algorithmic decision making. These concerns demonstrate that organizations cannot evaluate digital innovation exclusively through efficiency, productivity, or financial performance. Instead, responsible digital transformation requires the integration of ethical principles into organizational capabilities and innovation processes. Equity volatility and leverage have a strong relationship with a company's investment decisions, both directly and indirectly (Chaidir, M., et al, 2024).

This development has contributed to the emergence of corporate digital responsibility (CDR) as an important organizational perspective. Lobschat et al. (2021) conceptualize corporate digital responsibility as an extension of organizational responsibility into the digital domain, encompassing the responsible management of digital technologies and their consequences for stakeholders. Wirtz et al. (2023) further demonstrate that corporate digital responsibility extends beyond individual firms to service ecosystems, suggesting that responsible digital practices increasingly depend on interactions among organizations, customers, technology providers, and other stakeholders. Consequently, digital ethics should not be considered an isolated compliance function but rather as an organizational capability embedded within strategic decision-making, innovation, governance, and stakeholder management. Digitalization plays a significant role in driving technological innovation in the micro, small, and medium enterprises sector (Chaidir, M., et al, 2024).

At the same time, the concept of responsible innovation provides a broader framework for understanding how technological innovation can contribute to desirable societal outcomes. Buhmann and Fieseler (2023) connect responsible AI innovation with deliberative governance and democratic participation, highlighting the importance of involving relevant stakeholders in decisions concerning emerging technologies. Saurabh et al. (2022) similarly propose that ethical considerations should be incorporated into digital transformation strategies, indicating that organizational capabilities are essential for translating ethical principles into practical technological and managerial outcomes. From this perspective, responsible innovation requires organizations to develop

capabilities not only for technological adaptation but also for ethical reflection, stakeholder engagement, transparency, accountability, and long term sustainability. Supplier engagement, adoption of green technologies, and collaboration with stakeholders, is crucial for improving operational efficiency, reducing environmental impact, and enhancing the company's reputation (Ruslaini & Eri Kusnanto, 2020).

Nevertheless, the literature remains fragmented across digital transformation, digital ethics, organizational capabilities, responsible innovation, and sustainable value creation. Existing studies frequently examine these dimensions separately, making it difficult to understand how they interact as an integrated organizational process. This fragmentation creates a need for systematic synthesis of existing knowledge to identify dominant themes, conceptual relationships, research gaps, and emerging directions. Literature reviews are particularly appropriate for integrating fragmented research and advancing theoretical understanding when a research domain has developed across multiple disciplines (Snyder, 2019; Lim et al., 2022; Kraus et al., 2021).

Therefore, this Qualitative Systematic Literature Review (QSLR) examines how digital transformation contributes to responsible innovation through the development of digital ethics and organizational capabilities, and how these processes ultimately influence sustainable business value. The review seeks to synthesize existing evidence, identify the major organizational and ethical mechanisms underlying responsible digital innovation, and develop an integrated conceptual understanding of how organizations can pursue technological transformation while simultaneously addressing social, ethical, and environmental responsibilities. By connecting these streams of literature, this study aims to contribute to a more holistic understanding of how digital transformation can move beyond technology adoption toward responsible and sustainable value creation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature increasingly conceptualizes digital transformation as a multidimensional organizational process rather than merely the adoption of digital technologies. Kraus et al. (2022) found that digital transformation research encompasses changes in business models, organizational structures, processes, and strategic capabilities, indicating that technological implementation must be accompanied by organizational adaptation. Similarly, Saarikko et al. (2020) argued that digitally conscious

firms need to integrate technology with strategic orientation and organizational change rather than treating digitalization as an isolated technological project. Reis et al. (2020) further identified digitalization as a broad transformation affecting processes, products, services, and organizational practices, thereby creating a foundation for new business and innovation models.

Digital transformation can consequently create new forms of organizational capability and business value, but its outcomes depend on how organizations integrate technological resources with managerial and organizational capabilities. Liu and Chiu (2021), for example, found that supply-chain digitalization and integration can influence firm performance by improving coordination and operational capabilities. Kraus et al. (2020) similarly showed that digitalization can stimulate business model innovation by enabling firms to redesign value creation and delivery mechanisms. These findings suggest that digital transformation creates business value when technological resources are translated into organizational capabilities and innovative business practices.

The expansion of digital technologies has simultaneously generated significant ethical challenges concerning privacy, transparency, accountability, fairness, surveillance, and algorithmic decision-making. Brendel et al. (2021) demonstrated that AI management requires explicit attention to ethical principles because AI systems can generate organizational risks beyond conventional technological implementation. Munoko et al. (2020) similarly identified ethical concerns associated with AI applications in auditing, particularly regarding accountability, transparency, professional judgment, and potential bias. Felzmann et al. (2020) further emphasized that transparency should be incorporated into AI systems by design, indicating that ethical considerations should become part of technological development rather than being addressed only after implementation.

The literature also demonstrates that digital ethics is closely connected to trust and organizational legitimacy. Kim and Routledge (2022) argued that a right to explanation in algorithmic decision-making can be justified from a trust-based perspective, while Omrani et al. (2022) found that trust in AI systems is influenced by concerns regarding ethics, context, and technological reliability. Behera et al. (2022) further showed that ethical principles embedded in cognitive computing can contribute to organizational reputation in digital marketing contexts. These findings indicate that ethical digital

practices can function not only as risk-control mechanisms but also as organizational resources supporting trust, legitimacy, and stakeholder relationships.

Corporate digital responsibility provides an important conceptual bridge between digital transformation and organizational responsibility. Lobschat et al. (2021) conceptualized corporate digital responsibility as organizational responsibility for the consequences of digital technologies, extending traditional corporate responsibility into the digital environment. Their work suggests that organizations need capabilities to manage digital impacts on customers, employees, society, and other stakeholders. Wirtz et al. (2023) extended this perspective by demonstrating that corporate digital responsibility also operates across service ecosystems, implying that responsible digital practices require coordination among firms, technology providers, customers, and other ecosystem participants.

The organizational capability perspective is also supported by research examining leadership, work, and strategic adaptation. Watson et al. (2021) argued that the increasing importance of AI requires senior leaders to understand and integrate AI into organizational strategy and decision-making. Rodriguez-Lluesma et al. (2021) emphasized that digital transformation changes the nature of work through relational and organizational processes, while D'Cruz et al. (2022) highlighted how technological megatrends create new challenges for business ethics and the future of work. Therefore, responsible digital transformation requires not only technological capabilities but also ethical awareness, leadership capabilities, employee competencies, governance mechanisms, and stakeholder oriented decision making.

Responsible innovation provides a mechanism through which organizations can integrate technological advancement with broader social and environmental responsibilities. Buhmann and Fieseler (2023) argued that responsible AI innovation should incorporate deliberative governance and democratic participation, highlighting stakeholder involvement as an important dimension of responsible technological development. Saurabh et al. (2022) similarly proposed an AI-led ethical digital transformation framework in which ethical considerations are incorporated into digital transformation strategies and managerial practices. These findings suggest that responsible innovation emerges when organizational capabilities enable firms to

anticipate technological consequences, incorporate ethical considerations, engage stakeholders, and govern innovation processes.

The relationship between responsible innovation and sustainability is also supported by research on circularity and digital ecosystems. Ciulli et al. (2020) demonstrated that digital platform organizations can act as circularity brokers in waste-recovery systems, illustrating how digital platforms can facilitate environmental value creation. Suchek et al. (2021), through a systematic literature review, found a strong conceptual relationship between innovation and circular-economy practices, indicating that innovation can contribute to sustainability when organizational and technological resources are directed toward circular outcomes. Low and Bu (2022) additionally found that digitalization strategies can stimulate internal corporate social responsibility practices, demonstrating that digital transformation may strengthen organizational responsibility under appropriate strategic conditions.

Overall, the systematic literature indicates a conceptual progression from digital transformation to organizational capabilities, from organizational capabilities to digital ethics and corporate digital responsibility, and from these foundations toward responsible innovation and sustainable business value. However, existing studies remain fragmented across technology management, business ethics, organizational capabilities, responsible innovation, and sustainability research (Snyder, 2019; Lim et al., 2022). The literature therefore supports the need for an integrated qualitative synthesis examining how ethical digital practices and organizational capabilities transform technological adoption into responsible innovation and sustainable business value.

METHODS

This study adopts a Qualitative Systematic Literature Review (QSLR) to systematically identify, evaluate, interpret, and synthesize previous research concerning the relationships among digital transformation, digital ethics, organizational capabilities, responsible innovation, and sustainable business value. A systematic literature review is appropriate because the research domain is interdisciplinary and fragmented across information systems, strategic management, business ethics, innovation, and sustainability. Snyder (2019) emphasizes that a rigorous literature review should employ

a clearly defined research purpose, transparent search strategy, explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria, systematic data extraction, and an appropriate synthesis procedure.

The review follows a structured process consisting of research question formulation, literature identification, screening and eligibility assessment, quality evaluation, data extraction, thematic analysis, and qualitative synthesis. This structured approach is consistent with Kraus et al. (2020), who emphasize that systematic reviews should use transparent and reproducible procedures to identify the state of knowledge and develop theoretically meaningful conclusions. The principal research questions focus on: (1) how digital transformation influences organizational capabilities; (2) what digital ethical issues emerge from digital transformation; (3) how organizational capabilities enable responsible innovation; and (4) how responsible digital innovation contributes to sustainable business value.

Literature searches are designed around combinations of the keywords “digital transformation,” “digital ethics,” “AI ethics,” “corporate digital responsibility,” “organizational capabilities,” “responsible innovation,” “sustainable business value,” “digital responsibility,” and “business sustainability.” The search strategy prioritizes peer-reviewed journal articles indexed in reputable academic databases, complemented where necessary by relevant high quality publications identified through backward and forward reference searching. Snyder (2019) recommends clearly documenting databases, search terms, eligibility criteria, and boundaries to strengthen the transparency and trustworthiness of systematic reviews.

The inclusion criteria comprise peer reviewed academic studies published up to 2024, written in English, and directly addressing at least one of the core constructs or their relationships. Studies are excluded when they are unrelated to organizational or business contexts, lack sufficient theoretical or empirical relevance, or focus exclusively on technical aspects without implications for ethics, organizational capabilities, responsible innovation, or sustainable value. The selected studies are then evaluated according to relevance, methodological clarity, theoretical contribution, and credibility.

For qualitative synthesis, extracted information includes author and year, research context, theoretical perspective, methodology, key constructs, major findings, ethical dimensions, organizational capabilities, innovation outcomes, and sustainability implications. The findings are subsequently coded thematically and compared across

studies to identify recurring concepts, convergent findings, contradictory evidence, and research gaps. This approach follows the principle that systematic reviews should move beyond description toward synthesis and theory development (Snyder, 2019; Kraus et al., 2020). The final synthesis develops an integrated conceptual understanding of how organizations can move from digital transformation toward responsible innovation and sustainable business value through the interaction of digital ethics and organizational capabilities.

RESULTS

The qualitative synthesis of the reviewed literature identifies five interconnected themes that explain the transition from digital transformation toward responsible innovation and sustainable business value: (1) digital transformation as organizational transformation, (2) digital ethics as a governance requirement, (3) organizational capabilities as an enabling mechanism, (4) responsible innovation as an integrative outcome, and (5) sustainable business value as a multidimensional consequence.

The first theme indicates that digital transformation extends beyond the adoption of digital technologies and involves fundamental changes in organizational processes, business models, customer experiences, and strategic practices. Kraus et al. (2022), through an analysis of 217 articles from leading business and management journals, identified the broad thematic development of digital transformation and proposed a framework connecting digital transformation with business and management research. The literature therefore suggests that digital transformation creates value when technological resources are integrated with organizational change and strategic capabilities rather than implemented as isolated technological projects. Saarikko et al. (2020) similarly emphasized the importance of organizational awareness and strategic adaptation in digitally conscious firms.

The second theme concerns the emergence of ethical challenges associated with data and digital technologies, particularly AI, algorithmic decision making, privacy, transparency, accountability, and fairness. Lobschat et al. (2021) conceptualized Corporate Digital Responsibility (CDR) as shared organizational values and norms governing the creation and capture of technology and data, their operation and decision-making, impact assessment, and subsequent refinement. This finding indicates that digital

ethics should be embedded within organizational processes rather than treated exclusively as an external compliance requirement. Brendel et al. (2021) similarly demonstrated the importance of ethical management of AI, while Felzmann et al. (2020) emphasized transparency by design as an important mechanism for addressing ethical concerns in AI systems. Saurabh et al. (2022) further developed an AI-led ethical digital transformation framework, arguing that ethical considerations should be integrated into the design, deployment, monitoring, and governance of digital transformation initiatives.

The third theme demonstrates that responsible digital transformation depends on organizational capabilities that translate technological resources and ethical principles into organizational action. The reviewed literature points particularly to digital capabilities, leadership, organizational culture, governance, employee competencies, and stakeholder engagement as important enabling conditions. Lobschat et al. (2021) specifically linked CDR implementation to organizational culture, suggesting that shared values and norms can translate responsibility principles into actionable organizational guidelines. Watson et al. (2021) likewise highlighted the growing strategic relevance of AI for senior leadership, indicating that managerial capabilities are necessary for organizations to integrate AI into strategic decision making.

The fourth theme reveals that responsible innovation represents an important bridge between technological transformation and broader organizational responsibility. Buhmann and Fieseler (2023) connected responsible AI innovation with deliberative governance and democratic participation, emphasizing the importance of stakeholder involvement in technological decision making. This perspective extends digital ethics from identifying risks toward actively shaping innovation processes according to societal expectations. Saurabh et al. (2022) similarly positioned ethical considerations as an integral component of AI-enabled digital transformation. Consequently, responsible innovation can be understood as an organizational outcome in which technological innovation is accompanied by anticipation of consequences, ethical reflection, stakeholder consideration, and appropriate governance.

The fifth theme concerns the contribution of responsible digital innovation to sustainable business value. The literature suggests that sustainable value should not be restricted to short term financial performance but should incorporate economic, environmental, social, and stakeholder dimensions. Suchek et al. (2021), in their

systematic review of innovation and the circular economy, found that organizations seeking circular transition need to rethink business models and value propositions while simultaneously considering environmental and social dimensions. Ciulli et al. (2020) further demonstrated how digital platforms can facilitate circularity through waste recovery activities, illustrating how digital technologies can support environmental value creation.

Overall, the qualitative synthesis indicates a sequential but interconnected relationship: digital transformation provides technological and strategic opportunities; organizational capabilities determine the capacity to implement those opportunities; digital ethics and corporate digital responsibility establish normative boundaries; responsible innovation integrates technological, organizational, and ethical considerations; and sustainable business value represents the broader economic, social, and environmental outcomes. The synthesis therefore suggests that responsible digital transformation is not achieved through technology alone, but through the interaction of technology, organizational capabilities, ethical governance, and sustainability oriented innovation.

DISCUSSION

The qualitative synthesis indicates that the transition from digital transformation to responsible innovation is best understood as an integrated organizational process, in which technological transformation, ethical governance, organizational capabilities, and sustainability outcomes are mutually connected rather than independent dimensions. This finding is consistent with Saarikko et al. (2020), who argued that digital transformation requires firms to reconsider capabilities, structures, culture, data ownership, and ethics, while the present synthesis extends this perspective by positioning digital ethics as a mechanism through which transformation can generate responsible and sustainable value.

First, the findings confirm the argument of Kraus et al. (2022) that digital transformation represents a broad business and organizational phenomenon rather than simple technology adoption. Their review of digital transformation research identified substantial changes in business models, organizational processes, and managerial practices, whereas the present review further indicates that these changes must incorporate ethical and sustainability considerations to become responsible innovation.

Thus, the present synthesis extends previous digital transformation research from a primarily technological and organizational perspective toward an explicitly ethical and sustainability oriented perspective.

Second, the findings strongly support Lobschat et al. (2021), who conceptualized Corporate Digital Responsibility (CDR) as shared organizational values and norms governing technology and data across creation, operation and decision-making, impact assessment, and refinement. However, the present review suggests that CDR should not be viewed only as an organizational responsibility framework; it can also function as an organizational capability mechanism connecting digital transformation with responsible innovation. In this respect, ethical values become embedded in organizational routines, governance, culture, and strategic decision making.

Third, the results reinforce the findings of Brendel et al. (2021) concerning the importance of ethical management of artificial intelligence. Their study emphasizes that AI implementation creates ethical management challenges that require organizational responses, supporting the present finding that technological capability alone is insufficient for responsible digital transformation. The current synthesis extends this argument by connecting AI ethics with broader organizational capabilities, responsible innovation, and sustainable business value.

Fourth, the findings are consistent with Felzmann et al. (2020), who developed the concept of transparency by design for automated decision-making and highlighted the difficulty of translating transparency from a normative principle into practical technological applications. The present synthesis similarly identifies transparency, explainability, and accountability as important ethical capabilities, but further suggests that these principles need to be incorporated into organizational governance and innovation processes rather than treated only as technical design requirements.

Fifth, the organizational capability dimension of the findings is strongly supported by Watson et al. (2021), who interviewed 33 senior leaders across countries and industries and identified digital know how, data driven orientation, networking, ethics, and agility as important leadership capabilities in the AI era. Compared with their leadership-focused findings, the present review adopts a broader organizational perspective by integrating leadership capabilities with culture, governance, employee competencies, stakeholder engagement, and ethical decision making.

Sixth, the findings concerning trust and ethical adoption are consistent with Omrani et al. (2022), whose analysis of data from more than 30,000 individuals across the EU28 found relationships between concerns about AI and levels of trust in AI-based systems. The present synthesis extends this evidence by interpreting trust not simply as an individual response to AI but as an organizational outcome influenced by transparency, ethical governance, responsible leadership, and stakeholder oriented digital practices.

Seventh, the present findings closely correspond with Buhmann and Fieseler (2023), who argued that responsible AI innovation requires public deliberation involving public, private, and civil society actors. Their emphasis on distributed deliberation complements the current finding that responsible innovation requires stakeholder participation and governance beyond the boundaries of the individual firm. This comparison indicates that responsible innovation is fundamentally relational and ecosystem based rather than exclusively organization centric.

Eighth, the sustainability dimension of the present findings is supported by Suchek et al. (2021), whose systematic review demonstrated that innovation and circular-economy transitions require firms to rethink business models and value propositions while simultaneously considering environmental and social dimensions. The present review extends this sustainability perspective by identifying digital ethics and organizational capabilities as additional mechanisms through which digital technologies can contribute to sustainable value creation.

Taken together, the comparison of these eight studies reveals an important theoretical synthesis: digital transformation creates technological opportunities; organizational capabilities determine the capacity to exploit those opportunities; digital ethics establishes responsible boundaries; stakeholder oriented governance enables responsible innovation; and sustainability oriented innovation translates these processes into broader business value. The principal contribution of the present QSLR is therefore to connect research streams that have frequently been examined separately and to propose a more integrated pathway from digital transformation → organizational capabilities → digital ethics and responsibility → responsible innovation → sustainable business value.

CONCLUSION

This qualitative systematic literature review concludes that the transition from digital transformation to responsible innovation is not determined by technology adoption alone, but by the interaction between digital technologies, organizational capabilities, digital ethics, governance, and sustainability objectives. The synthesis indicates that digital transformation can generate strategic and operational opportunities when organizations possess the capabilities to integrate technology with business processes, leadership, organizational culture, and innovation activities. At the same time, digital ethics including transparency, accountability, fairness, privacy, explainability, and responsible AI governance provides an essential foundation for ensuring that digital transformation produces legitimate and trustworthy outcomes. Corporate Digital Responsibility further connects technological transformation with broader stakeholder and societal responsibilities.

The review further suggests that responsible innovation functions as a bridge between digital transformation and sustainable business value, because it encourages organizations to consider economic, social, ethical, and environmental consequences simultaneously. This synthesis extends previous research by integrating research streams that are often examined separately and proposes an interconnected pathway: digital transformation → organizational capabilities → digital ethics and responsibility → responsible innovation → sustainable business value.

LIMITATION

Several limitations should be acknowledged. First, this review is based on the available published literature and therefore may be affected by publication, database, and language biases, particularly because the review emphasizes English language academic publications. Second, the qualitative synthesis involves interpretive categorization of themes, meaning that different researchers could potentially classify relationships among digital ethics, organizational capabilities, and responsible innovation differently. Third, the literature remains heterogeneous in terms of theoretical perspectives, research contexts, industries, and methodological approaches, which limits direct comparison and broad empirical generalization. Finally, the review identifies conceptual relationships but does not empirically test causal relationships among the identified constructs; therefore,

future quantitative and mixed-method studies are needed to validate the proposed pathway and examine how organizational capabilities and digital ethics influence sustainable business value across different industries and institutional contexts.

REFERENCES

- Behera, R. K., Bala, P. K., Rana, N. P., & Kizgin, H. (2022). Cognitive computing based ethical principles for improving organisational reputation: A B2B digital marketing perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 141, 685–701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.070>
- Brendel, A. B., Mirbabaie, M., Lembcke, T. B., & Hofeditz, L. (2021). Ethical management of artificial intelligence. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 1974. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041974>
- Buhmann, A., & Fieseler, C. (2023). Deep learning meets deep democracy: Deliberative governance and responsible innovation in artificial intelligence. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 33(1), 146–179. <https://doi.org/10.1017/beq.2021.42>
- Ciulli, F., Kolk, A., & Boe-Lillegraven, S. (2020). Circularity brokers: Digital platform organizations and waste recovery in food supply chains. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167, 299–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04160-5>
- D'Cruz, P., Du, S., Noronha, E., Parboteeah, K. P., Trittin-Ulbrich, H., & Whelan, G. (2022). Technology, megatrends and work: Thoughts on the future of business ethics. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 180(3), 879–902. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05240-9>
- Felzmann, H., Fosch-Villaronga, E., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2020). Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 26(6), 3333–3361. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-020-00276-4>
- Kim, T. W., & Routledge, B. R. (2022). Why a right to an explanation of algorithmic decision-making should exist: A trust-based approach. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 32(1), 75–102. <https://doi.org/10.1017/beq.2021.3>
- Kraus, S., Breier, M., & Dasí-Rodríguez, S. (2020). The art of crafting a systematic literature review in entrepreneurship research. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 16, 1023–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-020-00635-4>
- Kraus, S., Durst, S., Ferreira, J. J., Veiga, P., Kailer, N., & Weinmann, A. (2022). Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63, 102466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102466>
- Kraus, S., Filser, M., Puumalainen, K., Kailer, N., & Thurner, S. (2020). Business model innovation: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 17(6), 2050043. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219877020500431>
- Kraus, S., Mahto, R. V., & Walsh, S. T. (2021). The importance of literature reviews in small business and entrepreneurship research. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 61(3), 1095–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472778.2021.1955128>
- Lim, W. M., Kumar, S., & Ali, F. (2022). Advancing knowledge through literature reviews: “What,” “why,” and “how to contribute.” *The Service Industries Journal*, 42(7–8), 481–513. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2022.2047941>

- Liu, K. P., & Chiu, W. (2021). Supply Chain 4.0: The impact of supply chain digitalization and integration on firm performance. *Asian Journal of Business Ethics*, 10(2), 371–389. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13520-021-00137-8>
- Lobschat, L., Mueller, B., Eggers, F., Brandimarte, L., Diefenbach, S., Kroschke, M., & Wirtz, J. (2021). Corporate digital responsibility. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 875–888. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.10.006>
- Low, M. P., & Bu, M. (2022). Examining the impetus for internal CSR practices with digitalization strategy in the service industry during COVID-19 pandemic. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, 31, 209–223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12408>
- Mohamad Chaidir, Grace Yulianti, & Benardi Benardi. (2024). Pengaruh Volatilitas Ekuitas dan Leverage terhadap Risiko Investasi. *Jurnal Visi Manajemen*, 10(1), 42–53.
- Mohamad Chaidir, Grace Yulianti, & Seger Santoso. (2024). Dampak Digitalisasi terhadap Inovasi Teknologi pada Usaha Mikro, Kecil, dan Menengah. *Jurnal Visi Manajemen*, 10(2), 74–87.
- Munoko, I., Brown-Liburd, H. L., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2020). The ethical implications of using artificial intelligence in auditing. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(2), 209–234. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04407-1>
- Omrani, N., Rivieccio, G., Fiore, U., Schiavone, F., & Agreda, S. G. (2022). To trust or not to trust? An assessment of trust in AI-based systems: Concerns, ethics and contexts. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 181, 121763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121763>
- Reis, J., Amorim, M., Melão, N., Cohen, Y., & Rodrigues, M. (2020). *Digitalization: A literature review and research agenda*. In Proceedings of the 25th International Joint Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management (pp. 443–456). Springer.
- Rodriguez-Lluesma, C., García-Ruiz, P., & Pinto-Garay, J. (2021). The digital transformation of work: A relational view. *Business Ethics, the Environment & Responsibility*, 30(1), 157–167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12323>
- Ruslaini Ruslaini, & Eri Kusnanto. (2020). Sustainability Dalam Rantai Pasok Global: Tinjauan Literatur Dari Perspektif Bisnis Internasional dan Manajemen Rantai Pasok. *Journal of Business, Finance, and Economics* (JBFE), 1(2).
- Saarikko, T., Westergren, U. H., & Blomquist, T. (2020). Digital transformation: Five recommendations for the digitally conscious firm. *Business Horizons*, 63(6), 825–839. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2020.07.005>
- Saurabh, K., Arora, R., Rani, N., Mishra, D., & Ramkumar, M. (2022). AI led ethical digital transformation: Framework, research and managerial implications. *Journal of Information, Communication and Ethics in Society*, 20(2), 229–256. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JICES-02-2021-0020>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Suchek, N., Fernandes, C. I., Kraus, S., Filser, M., & Sjögrén, H. (2021). Innovation and the circular economy: A systematic literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 3686–3702. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2834>

- Watson, G. J., Desouza, K. C., Ribiere, V. M., & Lindič, J. (2021). Will AI ever sit at the C-suite table? The future of senior leadership. *Business Horizons*, 64(4), 465–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2021.02.011>
- Wirtz, J., Kunz, W. H., Hartley, N., & Tarbit, J. (2023). Corporate digital responsibility in service firms and their ecosystems. *Journal of Service Research*, 26(2), 173–190. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10946705221130467>